

Table 2.2

Number of Individuals per Hane in Dura, According to the Nufüs Register of 1905

Individuals per hane	Frequency (# hanes)	Individuals per hane	Frequency (# hanes)
hanes of 1	7	hanes of 13	26
hanes of 2	12	hanes of 14	24
hanes of 3	26	hanes of 15	19
hanes of 4	30	hanes of 16	11
hanes of 5	39	hanes of 17	12
hanes of 6	40	hanes of 18	10
hanes of 7	51	hanes of 19	10
hanes of 8	32	hanes of 20	11
hanes of 9	35	hanes of 21-25	18
hanes of 10	30	hanes of 26-30	5
hanes of 11	27	hanes of 31-37	2
hanes of 12	29	largest hane	37 individuals

To return to the matter at hand, as stated, we should expect there would be fewer households (*hanes*) in 1871 than residences in 1876, because *hanes* could comprise a number of conjugal families. On the whole, this is what a comparison of the 1871 *salname* figures and the 1876 residence numbers shows. When we add to this comparison the relative data on *hane* numbers from the 1905 population registers in Appendix II we can compare relative indicators of population across three distinct sets of documents spanning thirty-five years. Although the comparisons are not without aberration in individual cases,