

and by virtue of relations to its apparatuses' new bourgeois, class fractions were emerging, yet fettered by the fact that Israel could no longer provide for capital accumulation and reproduction of the newly dominant relations of production within the constraints of her existing material base. The fetter had to burst out, Israel had to integrate and subordinate less developed forms of production, pre-capitalist economic formations. The Six Day War represented the unfettering of the fetter: it gave a progressive outlet to an absolutely ripened contradiction. This outlet was progressive in the sense of transforming the relations of production, promising further development of the productive forces and hence, the emergence of a new epoch. The 1967 war was thus the expression of interaction between the relations and the forces of production in the transition into a new historical phase. The emerging phase was new in terms of the change in the relation of production and the quantitative change in the degree of development of the productive forces it embodied. The higher degree of development of the productive forces which were at the disposal of Israel's ruling classes in the aftermath of only a six-day war was in territorial expansion (embracing commodity and capital market as well as a reservoir of cheap labor); accumulation of high level technological know-how, specifically in military-related research and development; massive inflow of investment capital accompanied by massive inflow of scientifically-trained Jewish immigrants. It is the very requirements for further development of these productive forces under the transformed relations of production that can explain the proletarianization of Palestinians in Israel. It is not accidental, therefore, that the proletarianization of Palestinians historically