(5) Finally, the analysis of Borochovism in the context of this study clearly exposes the relations between <u>objective forces</u> and <u>subjective</u> <u>forces</u> in the development process: how specific material conditions give rise to a particular form of consciousness, in turn affects material conditions. Concretely, this will illustrate how the inevitable consolidation of capitalism on a world-scale gives birth to Labor-Zionism in Diaspora, and in Israel puts an end to it. From illustrating the latter, it would follow that the story of the proletarianization of Palestinians in Israel and the story of the rise and decline of Labor-Zionism are two faces of the same coin.

All the points mentioned above as the underlying methodological rationale for the choice of Borochovism are imperative for comprehending causes and implications of the proletarianization of Palestinians in Israel today.

IV. The Limits of the Study

A. Limits of Subject

Transplanted as a sectarian settler-colonial formation in Palestine, Israel represents a unique capitalist development case. Development literature based on the analysis of concrete development processes in Third World countries, advanced capitalist countries, or socialist countries, has, if any, very little relevance to the Israeli case. The uniqueness of Israel's development, in turn, limits the extent to which generalizations can be validly made from this study. This problem, however, is offset by the essentially practical purpose of the study. Any practical use that this study may have depends largely on the extent to which it captures the specificity of the concrete conditions involved.