

capitalization of petty Jewish capital and, more importantly, for the well-rootedness of the bourgeois Jewish State.

"...We ask [Borochof writes] what economic value could there be for the Jewish question in a territory of well-rooted population? And we answer: It is valueless. And further, we ask what international value could this territory be for the purpose of guarding and defending Jewish interests in countries of the Diaspora...and our answer is...no value whatsoever.

"The territories will belong, in the future, not to the powers dominating them today, and not to immigrants...but only to the section of the population which produces the wealth of that particular territory and sustains in it its industrial and agricultural proletariat. Uganda does not belong to England, but to the black population within it...rooted in it to such a great measure that no force of immigrants can annihilate it. Eretz Israel does not belong to the British but only to the population working there.... More important than the question of mere majority is the question of the normal industrial and agricultural proletariat. Because any majority today which will not be able to reach, naturally, proletarianization, or the way of proletarianization is barred for it, will be increasingly expelled from its position to the point of death....The most important question is, where could the Jews reach normal proletarianization? ...Where is the country wherein we shall not have to fear...not only foreign immigration and capitalization, but mainly foreign proletarianization...? We know only one such territory that can satisfy all these requirements and that is: Wadi El-Arish (reference to Israel). It is a difficult distance from capitalist developed states, located near the sea, its population is nomad and can always migrate east, and the country has a hot subtropical climate which would make acclimation more difficult for a European than for a Jew." 100

In light of this statement, it becomes easier to comprehend the function, not only of proletarianization in the realization of Borochovist territorialism, but also of the twin slogans, "Boycott Arab Labor," and "Conquer Hebrew Work". The latter seems to be directly linked to the view of Jewish proletarianization and the proletarianization of the native inhabitants of the territory, as mutually exclusive processes. This mutual exclusiveness lies, economically, in the state of the productive forces based on middle and petty Jewish capital and restricted to forms of capitalist accumulation