One cannot help but sense the bourgeois flavor in Herzel's concern for the <u>gentle</u> performance of violence in terms of denying the native Palestinians access to both land and work; the alternatives of subsistence. The latter discriminatory practice was, according to Noam Chomsky, condemned from the left within the Palestinian Yishuv, specifically by Y.T. Kolton, according to whom the "conquest of land" gave the Zionist movement a stake in the feudal system (made explicit in Herzel's statement).³² Similarly, Kolton shows how the policy of "conquest of labor" led even the labor movement to stand in the way of the development of the Arab labor movement.³³

Although the statement above is precisely an expression of the leader of bourgeois-Zionism, and condemned, probably sincerely, from left circles, we argue that the conquest of labor and land is not only consistent with, but even indispensable for, the <u>socialist</u> strategy of Zionism. If historical practices of Labor-Zionism support this argument, our theoreticallybased argument in the previous chapter, regarding the bourgeois essence of Borochovism, will be also reinforced. Let us now examine these twin Zionist practices.