

FOOTNOTES

Chapter III

1. As Engels, for instance, points out, during the decline of the Roman Republic, the Italian peasants who were expropriated from their land formed a class of "poor whites" similar to that of Southern slaves before 1861, a class unfit for self-emancipation. The Gypsies may present another example of separation without proletarianization.
2. K. Marx and F. Engels, On Britain, Foreign Language Publishing House, Moscow, 1962, pp. 10-11.
3. Remember the "land enclosure" movement and the violent struggle of peasants against their separation from the land in the development of European capitalism.
4. Karl Marx, Pre-capitalist Economic Formations, edited and with introduction by Habsbawn, New York, International Publisher, 1965, p. 67.
5. K. Marx, Capital, Vol. 1, pp. 768, 504.
6. K. Marx, Capital, Vol. 2, p. 241.
7. Generally, I disagree with this Hegelian scheme associated, in the Marxist tradition, with Lukacs: class-in-itself (economic class-location) and class-for-itself (class endowed with its own class consciousness = class struggle); the essence of the analysis of social classes their place in the class struggle; they do not exist independently of class struggle. This scheme seems, however, appropriate for understanding the Zionist practices, its arbitrary formation of a Jewish proletariat through ideological and material incentives; its approach to the creation of not only classes, but also class struggle itself. A Jewish proletariat was to be formed in order for Jewish class struggle to emerge; a Jewish class formation not in, but rather for, class struggle. This, of course, raises serious questions with regard to the genuine being of the Israeli Jewish proletariat. This will be discussed again in later chapters.
8. Some Arab oil-producing countries like Kuwait and the Arab Emirates are probably an exception. Capitalist relations of production were immediately generalized, in terms of embourgeoisement that applies only to nationals (e.g., Kuwaitis) and proletarianization that applies mainly to foreigners (non-Kuwaitis); contrary to the classic settler-colonial case, here we have an indigenous bourgeoisie with a non-indigenous proletariat.